

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/069,290	02/25/2002	Masaaki Kosaka	350292001300	1521
25227	7590 10/06/2004	EXAMINER		INER
MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP 1650 TYSONS BOULEVARD			SEHARASEYON,	JEGATHEESAN
SUITE 300			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
MCLEAN, VA 22102			1647	

DATE MAILED: 10/06/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/069,290	KOSAKA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Jegatheesan Seharaseyon	1647				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 Au	<u>igust 2004</u> .					
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-28</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7)☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.	·					
8) Claim(s) 1-28 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner	•					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	score Application (F 10-102)				
I.S. Patent and Trademark Office						

Art Unit: 1647

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.
- 2. This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.
- 3. In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group 1, claims **1-8**, drawn to a *therapeutic agent* for myeloma comprising interferon- $\alpha$  or interferon- $\gamma$  and antibody specific for the amino acid sequence as set forth in SEQ ID NO: 2, classified in class 530, subclass 387.1, for example.

Group 2, claims **9-17**, drawn to a *therapeutic agent* for myeloma comprising IRF-2 protein and antibody specific for the amino acid sequence as set forth in SEQ ID NO: 2, classified in class 530, subclass 387.1, for example.

Group 3, claims **18-19**, drawn to an *agent* comprising a compound that enhances the expression of IRF-2 protein, classification dependent upon agent structure.

Group 4, claims **20**, drawn to a method of screening expression enhancers of HM1.24 antigen, classified in class 435, subclass 6, for example.

Application/Control Number: 10/069,290

Art Unit: 1647

Group 5, claims **21-28**, drawn to a an antibody specific for the amino acid sequence of SEQ ID NO: 2 that has a cytotoxic activity, pharmaceutical compositions and kits comprising same, classified in class 424, subclass 130.1, for example.

- 4. According to PCT Rule 13.2, unity of invention exists only when the shared same or corresponding technical feature is a contribution over the prior art. The inventions listed as Groups 1-5 do not relate to a single general inventive concept because they lack the same or corresponding special technical feature. The technical feature of Group 1 is interferon-α as an active ingredient which is shown Arora & Jelinek (8 May 1998) "Differential myeloma cell responsiveness to interferon-alpha correlates with differential induction of p19(INK4d) and cyclin D2 expression." J Biol Chem. 273(19): 11799-805 to lack novelty or inventive step and does not make it a contribution over the prior art. Arora & Jelinek teach use of interferon-a to stimulate expression in myeloma cell lines (Figures 6-8).
- 5. Group 1 is drawn to a special technical feature of a *therapeutic agent* for myeloma comprising interferon- $\alpha$ , which is not shared by any of the other groups.
- 6. Group 2 is drawn to a special technical feature of a *therapeutic agent* for myeloma comprising IRF-2 protein, which is not shared by any of the other groups.
- 7. Group 3 is drawn to a special technical feature of an *agent*, which is not shared by any of the other groups.

Application/Control Number: 10/069,290

Art Unit: 1647

- 8. Group 4 is drawn to a special technical feature of a method of screening expression enhancers of HM1.24 antigen, which is not shared by any of the other groups.
- 9. Group 5 is drawn to a special technical feature of an antibody specific for the amino acid sequence of SEQ ID NO: 2 that has a cytotoxic activity, which is not shared by any of the other groups.
- 10. The Examiner has required restriction between product and method claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and a product claim is subsequently found allowable, withdrawn method claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be rejoined in accordance with the provisions of MPEP § 821.04. **Method claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the patentable product** will be entered as a matter of right if the amendment is presented prior to final rejection or allowance, whichever is earlier. Amendments submitted after final rejection are governed by 37 CFR 1.116; amendments submitted after allowance are governed by 37 CFR 1.312.
- 11. In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined method claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined method claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103, and 112. Until an elected product claim is found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and method claims may be maintained. Withdrawn method claims that are not

Art Unit: 1647

commensurate in scope with an allowed product claim will not be rejoined. See "Guidance on Treatment of Product and Process Claims in light of *In re Ochiai, In re Brouwer* and 35 U.S.C. § 103(b)," 1184 O.G. 86 (March 26, 1996). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, Applicant is advised that the method claims should be amended during prosecution either to maintain dependency on the method claims or to otherwise include the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder.

- 12. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the Examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.
- 13. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).
- 14. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, separate search requirements, and/or different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 15. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Art Unit: 1647

## **Contact Information**

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jegatheesan Seharaseyon whose telephone number is 571-272-0892. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F: 8:30-4:30. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brenda Brumback can be reached on 571-272-0961. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JS 10/04

BRENDA BRUMBACK
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600